

Requirement Traceability Matrix Checklist << Project Name>>

<Delete and Replace
with Company Logo>

Status Draft / Issued Monday, 2 March 2020 Business Analysis (BAPL)



Document details

Project Information

Organisation	<< Organisation Name >>
Project Sponsor	<< Sponsor Name >>
Project Name	<< Project Name >>
Project Number	<< Project ID >>

Contact for enquiries and proposed changes

If you have any questions regarding the information in this document or suggestions for improving the document, please forward details to following contact point:

Business Analysis (BAPL)

Email: <u>info@business-analysis.com.au</u>
Website: <u>www.business-analysis.com.au</u>

Phone: 1300 33 11 64

Version History

Version	Date	Changed By	Nature of Amendment
0.01	8 July 2020	Business Analysts Pty Ltd	Initial document creation

Review and Approval

The content of this document is hereby agreed to and approved by:

Name	Position	Signature	Date

Distribution List

It is the responsibility of those listed below to help ensure their resources are aware of changes detailed in this document for compliance purposes.

Name	Position

Copyright © Business Analysts Pty Ltd 2012

Copyright protects this publication. Except for purposes permitted by the Copyright Act, reproduction by whatever means is prohibited without the prior written permission of Business Analysts Pty Ltd. Inquiries should be addressed to info@business-analysis.com.au.

Business Analysts Pty Ltd copyright © 2012 www.business-analysis.com.au

Template Version 1.1 Page **2** of **6**



Disclaimer

Business Analysis (BAPL) does not guarantee or warrants the results obtained while using this document and conducting business analysis activities. Usage of this document is strictly under the proviso that the user takes all responsibility for the document outcomes.



Template Usage Guidelines

The text mentioned below is to be used as a reference guide while completing this document. Remove this section/page after completing/before-publishing this document.

- a) The dark blue text represents help/instructional text in the template please remove it from the final version and/or before publishing the document.
- b) This template is designed for the author of the Requirement Traceability Matrix to make sure the matrix is ready for review.
- c) Additional sections can be added if required.
- d) Please do not remove any section(s) from this document unless otherwise specified.
- e) Please do not leave any section blank.
- f) Please ensure not to describe any System Design element(s) in this document.
- g) Do not forget to update the table of contents figures and caption tables (Reference tab in MSWord) once the document is complete.
- h) Introduce naming conventions as required for traceability purposes.



Checklist Instructions

The Requirement Traceability Matrix (RTM) author should use this checklist to make sure the RTM is ready for review. The reviewer is to use this checklist to provide consistent feedback to the author. The comments area should be used to provide examples of any inconsistencies found.

	Yes	No	N/A
Template			
Has the correct template for the document been used?			
Version number (Note that draft versions of the document will have version numbers that are less than 1.00, v0.01, v0.02, v0.03. The first formally issued version will be numbered v1.00. Minor document version changes will have the decimal component of the number incremented. Major document version changes will have the integer component of the number incremented).			
Has the version control information been completed correctly?			
Has the introduction information been completed correctly?			
Have all the stakeholders been identified clearly?			
Document Format Requirements			
All pages must be numbered.			
If figures, tables, diagrams and pictures are used these must be numbered.			
Document language must be set to English (Australia) to ensure correct spell checking in MS Word. The document must be checked for spelling mistakes.			
Has the template been modified to suit author needs and project requirements?			
Has the traceability between requirements, processes and use cases been adjusted to suit author and project needs?			
If a section is not populated or <i>Not Applicable</i> , has an adequate explanation as to why been provided?			
Has all unnecessary white space been removed? e.g. only single spaces after a period and single carriage returns between paragraphs			
Project Information and RTM Usage Guide			
Is the project information populated with organisation, sponsor, project name and number?			
Is the review and approval stakeholders listed?			
Has the requirements traceability matrix been reviewed and approved by key stakeholders?			
Has the RTM usage guide been adjusted to suit author and project requirements?			
Requirement Description			
Has the requirements been written in accordance to best practice like the Business Analysis Body of Knowledge (BABOK) or organisational methodology?			
Has the type of requirements (Business, Stakeholder, Functional etc) been described to an appropriate level?			
Do the requirements have a derived actor/source?			
Do requirements have an assigned owner?			
Is there a status attributed to the requirement for example draft, reviewed, approved, design, built etc?			
Is there an assigned requirement priority for example using the MoSCoW method?			
Is a complexity metric assigned to the requirement?			
Are any risks captured against requirements?			
Are any issues to stability recorded against requirements?			
Are any urgency classifications captured against requirements?			
Have key dates been recorded against requirements?			

Business Analysts Pty Ltd copyright © 2012 www.business-analysis.com.au

Template Version 1.1 Page **5** of **6**



When required has acceptance criteria's been used to further explain requirements?		
Traceability		
Have requirements been classified correctly into types (business, functional, non-functional) or categories (security, performance, availability)?		
Have all business requirements been traced to a business process?		
Have all stakeholder requirements been traced to a business requirement?		
Have all non-functional requirements been traced to a stakeholder or business requirement?		
Have all business processes been traced to a use case?		
Have all functional requirements been traced to either a business, stakeholder requirement or use case?		
Comments		
Paviauras Nama		
Reviewer Name		